

means for releasably fixing the substance dispenser to the supporting structure so that the central aperture slides over a portion of the support structure, and so that the plurality of fingers extend away from the supporting structure.

- 4. (Amended) A substance delivery device as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the fingers are coated or impregnated with the substance to be dispensed.
- 5. (Amended) A substance delivery device as claimed in any one of claims 1 to3 wherein the substance dispenser is made from polydimethylsiloxane.
- 6. (Amended) A substance delivery device as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the substance dispenser is highly flexible.
- 7. (Amended) A substance delivery device as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 in the form of an intravaginal release device.
- 8. (Amended) A substance delivery device as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 for use with cows.

REMARKS

Currently claims 1 to 8 are pending.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 4-8 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from a multiple dependent claim. Claims 4-8 have been amended and Applicant requests that this objection be withdrawn.

Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The Examiner states "[s]pecifically, the drawings of the substance delivery device cannot be practiced by one with ordinary skill in the art." Although

LAW OFFICES
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT,
& DUNNER, L. L. P.
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
202-408-4000